


# The Past and Future of Climate

David Archibald

April, 2007

## Evil Document



I have encountered evil. Evil in the form of this document – the CSIRO Climate Change Projections for Australia. The CSIRO is supposed to support the economic interests of Australia, and not be driven by a particular political or religious agenda. In the bottom right hand corner of this slide you will see the IPCC projection of up to 5.8 degrees temperature increase by 2100. The CSIRO regurgitates the IPCC projections uncritically – projections of floods, droughts, hot, cold, and our seas turning into boiling acid. The CSIRO scientists, and the directors of the CSIRO also, are quite happy to sell the Australian people into the slavery and oppression of Global Warming Theory and the lower standard of living that emission caps and carbon trading will bring. The CSIRO has abrogated its responsibility to the Australian people for a handful of silver.

## The CSIRO Disclaimer

Most data were downloaded from the IPCC Data Distribution Centre web site at <http://ipcc-ddc.cru.uea.ac.uk>.

### Disclaimer

The projections are based on results from computer models that involve simplifications of real physical processes that are not fully understood. Accordingly, no responsibility will be accepted by CSIRO for the accuracy of the projections inferred from this brochure or for any person's interpretations, deductions, conclusions or actions in reliance on this information.


What is the depth of the CSIRO's convictions on this matter? Their disclaimer suggests that they don't believe it themselves. Computer model projections – simplifications – processes that are not fully understood. And they certainly don't want to be held accountable for what they have done. That hasn't stopped them from doing their bit in condemning the Australian people to a lower standard of living. Not only are these scientists evil, they are also very casual.

In this presentation, I will put forward an alternate climate prediction – one that forecasts imminent cooling. And it is a forecast that you will be able to check up on every day.

I am going to start off by looking at the near term temperature record, and then go back successively further in time, looking at the range of temperatures in the historic and then geological record. Then we will examine the role of the Sun in changing climate, and following that the contribution of anthropogenic warming from carbon dioxide.

I will finish up combining a solar-driven prediction and the anthropogenic contribution to make a prediction of climate to 2030.

## The 28 years of High Quality Satellite Data




The Southern Hemisphere is the same temperature it was 28 years ago, the Northern Hemisphere has warmed slightly.

The satellite record is the highest quality temperature data series in the climate record. It shows that the temperature of the Southern Hemisphere has been flat, with a slight increase in the Northern Hemisphere. Note the El Nino peak in 1998.

If it doesn't feel hotter in Australia than it was 28 years ago, it is because it isn't hotter.

## A Rural US Data Set



**The smoothed average annual temperature of the Hawkinsville (32.3N, 83.5W), Glennville (31.3N, 89.1W), Calhoun Research Station (32.5N, 92.3W), Highlands (35.0N, 82.3W) and Talbotton (32.7N, 84.5W) stations is representative of the US temperature profile away from the urban heat island effect over the last 100 years (Data source: NASA GISS)**


Most rural temperature records in the United States were set in the 1930s and 1940s. Greenland had its highest recorded temperatures in the 1930s and has been cooler since.

That is why it is possible to select a number of rural US temperature records and come up with a reconstruction that shows that it is cooler now than it was seventy years ago.

The 1.5° temperature decline from the late 1950s to the mid-70s was due to a weak solar cycle 20 after a strong solar cycle 19.

## A 300 Year Thermometer Record

### Central England Temperature




After the invention of thermometers, temperature records started to be kept. This is one of the longest temperature series, and is actually an amalgamation of a number of sites. The recent record has been contaminated by the urban heat island effect.

A number of interesting things can be seen in this record, including the depths of the Little Ice Age in the late 17th century when the Thames regularly froze over, and the Dalton Minimum which was the last time the Thames froze over in the City of London. It last froze over upstream at Oxford in 1963.

The warm period in the 1930s and 1940s was seen in the shorter US rural data set and the rise to the El Nino in 1998. What is also interesting is the 2.2° temperature rise from 7.8° in 1696 to 10.0° in 1732. This is a 2.2° rise in 36 years. By comparison, the world has seen a 0.6° rise over the 100 years of the 20th century.

That temperature rise in the early 18th century was four times as large and three times as fast as the rise in the 20th century. The significance of this is that the world can experience very rapid temperature swings all due to natural causes. The temperature peak of 10° wasn't reached again until 1947.


## Medieval Warm Period – Little Ice Age



To reconstruct climate prior to thermometer records, isotope ratios and tree ring widths are used. This graph shows the Medieval Warm Period and Little Ice Age. The peak of the Medieval Warm Period was 2° warmer than today and the Little Ice Age 2° colder at its worst. The total range is 4° centigrade.


The warming over the 20th century was 0.6 degrees by comparison. This recent warming has melted ice on some high passes in the Swiss Alps, uncovering artifacts from the Medieval Warm Period and the prior Roman Warm Period.

## IPCC Chart



The previous graph is derived from this graph produced in the 1990 report of the International Panel on Climate Change. The Medieval Warm Period has become inconvenient to the IPCC, so they haven't mentioned it since.

## The Holocene Optimum




*Average near-surface temperatures of the northern hemisphere during the past 11,000 years (after Dansgaard et al., 1969, and Schönwiese, 1995)*

It was warmer again not long after the last ice age ended. Sea level was 2 metres higher than it is today. Since the Holocene Optimum, we have been in long term temperature decline at about 0.25° per thousand years.



## The Ice Ages




The last two million years have seen extreme fluctuations in temperature at mid to high latitudes. This graph shows the last 400,000 years of data. The temperature range from top to bottom is 10° centigrade. Note that the carbon dioxide level lags temperature by about 800 years.

Also interesting is the amount of dust. Colder is drier and warmer is wetter, generally. Large areas of Australia are covered by sand dunes that formed in these ice ages and are now stabilised by vegetation.

We are currently in an interglacial that has lasted 10,000 years to date. You can see from this graph that they are usually much shorter than that, so the next ice age is overdue.




## Ice Ages – The Longer Term Record




Ice ages started about 3 million years ago, initially with a 41,000 year cycle and then with a 100,000 year cycle.

## Climate over Geologic Time




For most of the last 600 million years, the Earth's climate has been steady at an average temperature of 22°, apart from periods of ice ages.

## The Solar Driver




The energy that stops the Earth from looking like Pluto comes from the Sun, and the level of this energy does change. This graph is of sunspot cycles since 1700. The average length of a sunspot cycle is 10.7 years. The Dalton Minimum is a period of lower temperatures from 1796 to 1820 caused by the low amplitude of solar cycles 4 and 5. We are currently near the end of solar cycle 23 and due to start solar cycle 24 in 2008.

## The Dalton Minimum at Three European Stations 1770 to 1840



This graph shows the temperature response to solar cycles 5 and 6 at three European stations. There was a 2° decline at Oberlach in Germany over that period.

## The Transition from Solar Cycle 22 to Solar Cycle 23



This graph shows the transition of one sunspot cycle to the next, using the example of the solar cycle 22 to solar cycle 23 transition.

The sun reverses magnetic polarity with each solar cycle, and sunspots of the new cycle start forming before the old cycle has completely died off. The average length of a solar cycle is 10.7 years. Solar Cycle 23 started in May 1996, rising to a peak of 120.9 in April 2000. For Solar Cycle 23 to be of average length, Solar Cycle 24 should have started in January 2007.

The first sunspots of a new solar cycle appear usually at more than  $20^\circ$  latitude on the Sun's surface. According to the last couple of solar cycles, the first sunspots appear twelve to twenty months prior to the start of the new cycle. Apart from a few spotless magnetic dipoles, there have not been any reversed polarity sunspots with a latitude of more than  $20^\circ$  to the date of this paper. This means that Solar Cycle 24 is at least one year away, or the observational rule is wrong.

Large solar cycles usually arrive early and small solar cycles late. If the observation rule regarding the relationship between first sunspot of the new solar cycle and timing of solar minimum holds, then Solar Cycle 23 will be at least twelve years long. It also follows that the longer the delay to the month of solar minimum, the weaker the amplitude of Solar Cycle 24 is likely to be.


Solar cycle 4, which preceded the Dalton Minimum, was 13.6 years long.

I said at the beginning of this presentation that you can check up on my prediction of imminent cooling every day. And you can do that thanks to amateur radio enthusiasts. They need an active sun with a lot of solar wind to get long distance propagation. A good amateur radio website is [www.solarcycle24.com](http://www.solarcycle24.com). It updates every six minutes.

By my calculations, every day's delay in the onset of solar cycle 24 will lower the average temperature over that cycle by one thousandth of a degree centigrade. We are already delayed by a year so that will translate to a  $0.4^{\circ}$  decline.

Also by my calculations, a 1 ppm increase in atmospheric carbon dioxide increases temperature by one thousandth of a degree. So it only takes two days' delay in the onset of solar cycle 24 to offset the increased temperature due to one year's emissions of carbon dioxide.


## Predictions of Solar Cycle 24



This is a very significant graph. There are currently some 24 published prediction of the amplitude of solar cycle 24. I have chosen seven of these to illustrate the current range of predictions. All of these predictions are by well regarded researchers. The significance comes from the fact that the highest prediction will result in a temperature some  $2^{\circ}$  higher than the temperature from the lowest prediction.

If the lowest prediction is borne out, this will have a large and negative effect on Canadian grain production, for example, and on all high latitude agricultural production. The experience from the Dalton Minimum was that the winters were longer and harder. And this effect will be on us very soon.

## Projected Temperature Profile to 2030



Combining the rural US data set we saw earlier and the project temperature response to a weak solar cycle 24, this graph shows the expected decline to 2030 as a consequence of consecutive weak solar cycles. The temperature decline will be as steep as that of the 1970s cooling scare, but will go on for longer.

## Another Dalton Minimum, or Worse?


“The surprising result of these long-range predictions is a rapid decline in solar activity, starting with cycle #24. If this trend continues, we may see the Sun heading towards a “Maunder” type of solar activity minimum - an extensive period of reduced levels of solar activity.”

K.H.Schatten and W.K.Tobiska, 34th Solar Physics Division Meeting,  
June 2003, American Astronomical Society

It can get worse than a repeat of the Dalton Minimum. Ken Schatten is the solar physicist with the best track record in predicting solar cycles.

His work suggests a return to the advancing glaciers and delayed spring snow melt of the Little Ice Age, for an indeterminate period.

# The Warming Effect of Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide



Anthropogenic warming is real, it is also miniscule. Using the MODTRANS facility maintained by the University of Chicago, the relationship between atmospheric carbon dioxide content and increase in average global atmospheric temperature is shown in this graph.

The effect of carbon dioxide on temperature is logarithmic and thus climate sensitivity decreases with increasing concentration. The first 20 ppm of carbon dioxide has a greater temperature effect than the next 400 ppm. The rate of annual increase in atmospheric carbon dioxide over the last 30 years has averaged 1.7 ppm.


From the current level of 380 ppm, it is projected to rise to 420 ppm by 2030. The projected 40 ppm increase reduces emission from the stratosphere to space from 279.6 watts/m<sup>2</sup> to 279.2 watts/m<sup>2</sup>.

Using the temperature response demonstrated by Idso (1998) of 0.1°C per watt/m<sup>2</sup>, this difference of 0.4 watts/m<sup>2</sup> equates to an increase in atmospheric temperature of 0.04°C. Increasing the carbon dioxide content by a further 200 ppm to 620 ppm, projected by 2150, results in a further 0.16°C increase in atmospheric temperature.

Since the beginning of the Industrial Revolution, increased atmospheric carbon dioxide has increased the temperature of the atmosphere by 0.1°.




## The Temperature Increase Due to Increased Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide



This graph shows the calculated contribution of the carbon dioxide effect to atmospheric temperature over the three hundred years from 1900 to 2200.

It has been 0.1° to date and over the next two hundred years will amount to 0.4° in total. It is scaled against the 2° temperature range experienced in the 20th century. The graph assumes that atmospheric carbon dioxide will continue to increase at 1.7 parts per million per annum.


## Historic and Projected Atmospheric Carbon Contributions by the United States, China and Australia



The projected increase is likely to be brought forward if Chinese economic expansion continues for the next ten years at the same rate that it has demonstrated over the last ten years. This graph shows emissions of carbon to the atmosphere by the United States, Australia and China, with historic data to 2005 and a projection to 2020.

Chinese emissions will overtake US emissions in 2009, and then double from the current level by 2016. Per capita emissions by the three countries will be equivalent by 2020.

## The Anthropogenic Contribution



This graph shows what the temperature would be with and without the warming from anthropogenic carbon dioxide. The anthropogenic effect is able to be calculated, though very small relative to natural variation.

## A New Dark Age – The Perverted Science of Global Warming

“the whole world, including the United States, including all that we have known and cared for, will sink into the abyss of a new Dark Age, made more sinister, and perhaps more protracted, by the lights of perverted science.”

Sir Winston Churchill, June 4, 1940

Sir Winston was referring to the Nazis in the first instance, but he possibly also had in mind the CSIRO of the early 21st century.

Limiting carbon dioxide production now is exactly the opposite of what we should be doing. To maintain agricultural production in the cold years to come, we need as much carbon dioxide in the atmosphere as we can get.

Anthropogenic Global Warming is so miniscule that the effect cannot be measured from year to year, and even generation to generation. From here to 620 ppm is only 0.2 degrees centigrade.

Our generation has bathed in the warm glow of a benign, giving Sun, but the next will suffer a Sun that is less giving, and the Earth will be less fruitful.