

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2011 1:36 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: FW: THE LIES ABOUT DEATH THREATS TO SO CALLED "climate scientists".....

Hi [Redacted]

I wouldn't be surprised if this is what prompted the Daily Telegraph's angle.

Regards,

[Redacted]

[Redacted]


[Redacted]

Office Hours: 10am to 2pm Monday, 9am to 5pm Tuesday and Thursday, 9am to 3pm Friday.

The office is closed on Wednesdays.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Sunday, 5 June 2011 6:10 PM
To: [Redacted]

[Redacted]



Subject: THE LIES ABOUT DEATH THREATS TO SO CALLED "climate scientists"

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/nation/australian-national-university-scientists-moved-to-safe-location-after-threats/story-e6frg6nf-1226069184389>

Death threats are intolerable and indefensible. Period.

So is the way that the ANU is thuggishly manipulating the demented expressions of a few sick people as a propaganda weapon to smear the entire sceptical community. Period.

The death threats obviously come from mentally diminished losers. That's their excuse. Using it as an agitprop smear on rational scepticism coming from our nations most powerful university timed to incite mob outrage in this weekend's Say Yes rally is malicious, pre-meditated and abominable abuse of authority and power. What's ANU's and the ABC's excuse?

The Australian Federal Police said it had not been contacted by the university...

Duh. Why did the ANU take this information to the ABC rather than the AFP? If the threats are credible, why didn't someone at the university pick up the nearest phone and call for police help, ASAP.

Instead of calling the AFP, the university organized a public relations campaign utilizing the powers of our state-owned national broadcaster to announce at the top of every hour for the last two days that

6/8/2011

climate skeptics in a concerted effort to stop the “release of climate data” and thwart public debate are issuing death threats to climate scientists.

Instead of a police investigation of criminal conduct the ANU chose to use the allegation to manufacture a smear as a delivery vehicle for the latest Big Lie—that skeptics want to suppress climate data and thwart fair debate... It’s cynical and brutal propaganda transparently timed to whip up some good old fashion lynch-mob passion at the Say Yes rallies today.

“Obviously, climate research is an emotive issue at the present time, but these are issues where we should have a logical public debate,” Professor Young told ABC News 24.

The ANU and the ABC are employing the ultimate rhetorical tool of Orwellian thuggery: Inversion of truth. CAGW skeptics have been struggling for years for all the data to be released and for a fair dinkum public forum debate. ABC and the ANU could snap their fingers tomorrow and make it happen on prime time TV, but they don’t dare go there. We all know that a fair “logical” public debate and a transparent review of the science is the last thing that this government or the ANU or the ABC are prepared to engage in. Instead we get propaganda, actresses, kangaroo experts, smears, rap songs, drum circles, just STFU and Say Yes to Senselessness rallies... anything but a rational, properly moderated, public debate of both sides of the issue in a prime time forum.

“In fact, it’s completely intolerable that people be subjected to this sort of abuse and to threats like this.”

I totally agree. But the disingenuous ANU VC Prof. Young should tell it to the eco-mob his university is purposely agitating with smears and lies about climate scepticism this weekend.

If the death threats are credible the international eco/leftist climate fear movement is to blame for long ago creating an atmosphere of intolerance, oppression and thuggery.

It wasn’t Big Coal that spent millions of dollars to hire a world-class film crew, directors, writers, special effects team and celebrity actors to make an advert where school children who dare to question climate orthodoxy are blown to bloody bits by their school teacher. It wasn’t Andrew Bolt who called for the suspension of civil liberties and imprisonment of those whom he disagrees with, it was Clive Hamilton on the ABC.

It wasn’t the chairman of BHP who called for acts of illegal civil disobedience as a proper way to expression one’s views on climate policy; it was Al Gore and James Hansen, the head of NASA. Of course, when Al and Jim got their civil disobedience—the liberation of the CRU emails, which revealed the greatest scientific fraud in modern times—they were Shocked! and Outraged! at the crime which they compared to terrorism.

The defining trait of eco/leftists, including academic climate alarmists, (other than intellectual bankruptcy and their penchant for authoritarian thuggery) is their unconscious and reflexive hypocrisy.

It’s hilarious, if pathetic, to watch climate alarmists with lifetime tenure in powerful institutions backed by our state-funded national broadcaster, half a dozen federal ministries and the Prime Minister wail that they are hapless victims of abusive twitters from a couple of morons, then try to beat it up as a global conspiracy to suppress data and debate. And all cynically timed to incite the very kind of irrational hatred in today’s Say Yes rallies they pretend to stand firmly against!

“The concept that you would be threatened for your scientific views and work is something that is completely foreign to them.”

Uh-huh. More Orwellian inversion of the truth. To simply hold the rational opinion that the CAGW hypothesis is false would be career suicide for a climate-related researcher at the ANU or the CSIRO or the BoM or a dozen other national, state-funded institutions in Australia. If you are not a card-carrying member of the Church of Climate Alarmism you WILL be purged, comrade.

So excuse me—as a member of an oppressed and threatened intellectual minority—if I don't dilute my beer with tears for our oppressors.